

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 RODERICK WISE, an individual,
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 SOUTHERN TIER EXPRESS, INC., a New
8 York corporation; DOES I through X; and
9 ROE CORPORATIONS I through X,
10 inclusive,
11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01219-APG-PAL

**ORDER DENYING MOTION IN
LIMINE NO. 2 (PRIOR EMPLOYMENT)**

(ECF No. 80)

11 The plaintiff has moved to exclude evidence of his prior employment. ECF No. 80. The
12 defendant responds that it is entitled to admit evidence about the plaintiff's employment as a truck
13 driver and laborer over the past ten years, but it does not intend to discuss any employment before
14 then unless the plaintiff opens that door during the trial. ECF No. 98.

15 Evidence about the plaintiff's employment history in the past ten years could be relevant,
16 depending on what develops at trial. Therefore, the motion in limine to exclude such evidence
17 **(ECF No. 80) is DENIED.**

18 DATED this 10th day of July, 2017.



19 ANDREW P. GORDON
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28